

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [West Midlands Interchange](#)  
**Subject:** your ref TR050005  
**Date:** 28 February 2019 21:34:26

---

**WRITTEN CONFIRMATION OF QUESTION ASKED AT OPEN MEETING 6.00PM FEB 27**

**JANET CROSSLEY RESIDENT OF PENKRIDGE**

1. What is the legal requirement to record present pollution levels on routes that will be affected by this proposed developments. I understand that the levels of air pollution in Penkridge are high already.

The question of air pollution has been highlighted recently with the tragic death of a young girl from Asthma , which has been identified as being linked to high levels of pollution.

I believe that monitoring should be ongoing on the route of the A449 from jct with M54 to jct 13 of M6 north of penkridge. Also along the A5 adjoining the area.

I pointed out that there is a lot of disruption on the M6, and quite frequently means traffic is diverted through Penkridge when the motorway gets closed. Seems a weekly occurrence at times . This is a concern as the Applicant has promoted the M6 as the major route .

information mentioned about monitors been removed so I asked for clarification from Inspector.

Applicant asked to make enquiries about the matter.

2. Regarding the total lack of sustainable transport link with regard to environmental, pollution and local disruption for workers arriving at the Site. Pointed out employment rate low in the area so workers probably will not be coming from the local area.

I had mentioned this at early presentation by the Applicant in Penkridge. They made it quite clear no provision via Rail for workers and not considered. Only access for workers to site will be by car - large car parks incorporated into plan. Shuttle bus service from local points ie railway stations.

Janet Crossley

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---